

Computing Laboratory

Hashing

Malay Bhattacharyya

Assistant Professor

Machine Intelligence Unit
Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata
February, 2021



What is hashing?


Definition (Hashing)

Hashing is the process of indexing and retrieving data items in a data structure to provide faster way (preferably $O(1)$) of finding the element using the hash function.

Hash function

Definition (Hash function)

A hash function h projects a value from a set with many (or even an infinite number of) data items to a value from a set with a fixed number of (fewer) data elements.



Note: The hashed values are kept in a data structure known as *hash tables*.

What makes a good hash function?

We want to design a hash function $h : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ ($n > m$) that satisfies the following requirements:

- Searching (lookup) is worst-case $O(1)$.
- Deletions are worst-case $O(1)$.
- Insertions are amortized, expected $O(1)$.
- Each data item is equally likely to hash to any of the m positions
- The function h is computationally collision free.



What makes a good hash function?

We want to design a hash function $h : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ ($n > m$) that satisfies the following requirements:

- Searching (lookup) is worst-case $O(1)$.
- Deletions are worst-case $O(1)$.
- Insertions are amortized, expected $O(1)$.
- Each data item is equally likely to hash to any of the m positions
- The function h is computationally collision free.

Note: Depending on the application, there might be additional requirements.



Dealing with hash collision

■ Strategy 1: Resolution

- Closed addressing: Store all the elements with hash collisions in an auxiliary data structure (e.g., linked list, BST, etc.) outside the hash table.
- Open addressing: Store all the elements with hash collisions by strategically moving them from preferred to the other positions in the hash table itself.

■ Strategy 2: Avoidance

- Perfect hashing: Ensure that collisions do not happen and if happen relocate the other elements.

Closed addressing

Closed addressing uses an auxiliary data structure whose domain D is defined as follows


$$D := \{k_i | \exists k_j \in H : k_i \neq k_j, h(k_i) = h(k_j)\}$$

Here, H denotes the hash table.

Note: Use of auxiliary data structures include additional burdens (of pointer dereferencing) that are not cache-friendly,


Closed addressing – Insertion

Let $h(k) = k \% 26$.




Closed addressing – Insertion

Let $h(k) = k \% 26$.



Closed addressing – Insertion

Let $h(k) = k \% 26$.



Closed addressing – Insertion

Let $h(k) = k \% 26$.

k		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
42											
94	25	INSERTION								8	
11	24									9	
16 →	23									10	
68	22									11	11
37	21										
		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13		
						42					
						94					

Closed addressing – Insertion

Let $h(k) = k \% 26$.

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
42								
94	25	CHAINING						8
11	24							9
16	23							10
68 →	22							11
37	21							12
				42				
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13
					94			
					16			


Closed addressing – Insertion

Let $h(k) = k\%26$.

k		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
42										
94	25	CHAINING								8
11	24									9
16	23									10
68	22									11
37 →	21									12
					42					
		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	
						94				
						16				
						68				

Closed addressing – Insertion

Let $h(k) = k \% 26$.



Closed addressing – Implementation

Traditional:

```
typedef struct node{
    unsigned hash_val;
    DATA data;
    struct node *next;
}HNODE;
```


Closed addressing – Implementation

Traditional:


```
typedef struct node{
    unsigned hash_val;
    DATA data;
    struct node *next;
}HNODE;
```

Alternative: Using unrolled linked lists!!!

```
#define HASH_UNROLL 10
typedef struct node{
    unsigned hash_val[HASH_UNROLL];
    DATA data[HASH_UNROLL]; // Array of elements at a node
    struct node *next;
}HNODE;
```

Closed addressing – Implementation

Unrolled linked list: This is a variant of linked list containing nodes of small arrays (of same size), which are large enough to fill the cache line. An iterator pointing into the list comprises both a pointer to a node and an index into that node containing an array.



Note: Unrolled linked lists are conceptually related to B-trees.

Open addressing with linear probing

Linear probing uses a hash function of the form

$$h(k, i) = (h'(k) + i) \% m.$$

Here, h' is an auxiliary hash function and $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$.

Note: The number of collisions tends to grow as a function of the number of existing collisions. This problem is known as *primary clustering*. It increases the average search time in a hash table.

Open addressing with linear probing – Insertion

Let $h'(k) = k\%26$.

k		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
28				28						
61	25	INSERTION								8
99	24									9
35 →	23									10
9	22									11
55	21								99	12
		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	

Open addressing with linear probing – Insertion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

k		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
28				28							
61	25	COLLISION									8
99	24									9	
35 →	23									10	
9	22									11	
55	21								99		12
		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13		

Open addressing with linear probing – Insertion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

k		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
28				28						
61	25	COLLISION								8
99	24									9
35	23									10
9 →	22									11
55	21								99	12
		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	

Open addressing with linear probing – Insertion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

k		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
28				28							
61	25	PROBING, COLLISION								8	
99	24									61	9
35	23									35	10
9 →	22										11
55	21								99		12
		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13		

Open addressing with linear probing – Insertion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

k		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
28				28						
61	25	PROBING								8
99	24									9
35	23									10
9	22									11
55 →	21								99	
		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	

Open addressing with linear probing – Searching

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

s	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
80			28?	55						
25	LOOKUP, MOVE								8	
24									61	9
23									35	10
22									9	11
21								99		12
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13		

Open addressing with linear probing – Searching

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

s
80

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7						
			28	55?										
25	LOOKUP, MOVE								8					
24													61	9
23													35	10
22													9	11
21								99						12
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13						

Open addressing with linear probing – Searching

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

s	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
80			28	55	?				
25	LOOKUP, NOWHERE								8
24									9
23									10
22									11
21								99	
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	

Open addressing with linear probing – Searching

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

s	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
35			28	55						
25	LOOKUP, MOVE								8	
24									61?	9
23									35	10
22									9	11
21								99		
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13		

Open addressing with linear probing – Searching

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

s	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
35			28	55						
25	LOOKUP, FOUND								8	
24									9	
23									35?	10
22									9	11
21								99		12
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13		

Open addressing with linear probing – Searching

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

s	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
99			28	55					
25	LOOKUP, FOUND								8
24									9
23									10
22									11
21								99?	
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	

Open addressing with linear probing – Deletion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

	s		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	61 ×				28	55					
25		LOOKUP, DELETE									8
24										61?	9
23										35	10
22										9	11
21	99										12
		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13		

Open addressing with linear probing – Deletion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

s

 28 ×

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			28?	55				
25	LOOKUP, DELETE							8
24								9
23								10
22								11
21								12
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13

Open addressing with linear probing – Deletion

Let $h'(k) = k\%26$.

s
55 ×

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7						
				55?										
25	LOOKUP, DELETE								8					
24									9					
23									35	10				
22									9	11				
21								99						12
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13						

Open addressing with linear probing – Deletion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

s
35 ×

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
25	LOOKUP, NOWHERE							8	
24								9	
23								10	
22								11	
21								12	
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	

To trace linearly probed items, we have to keep track of the positions from where items have been deleted!!!

Open addressing with linear probing – Deletion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

s	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
35 ×			\$	\$						
25	LOOKUP, MOVE								8	
24									\$?	9
23									35	10
22									9	11
21								99		
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13		

Note: The *tombstones* (denoted with the symbol \$) are used to keep track of the positions of deleted items.

Open addressing with quadratic probing

Quadratic probing uses a hash function of the form

$$h(k, i) = (h'(k) + (c_1 * i^2 + c_2 * i)) \% m.$$

Here, h' is an auxiliary hash function, c_1 and c_2 are auxiliary constants, and $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$.

Note: It suffers from a problem known as *secondary clustering*.

Open addressing with double hashing

Double hashing uses a hash function of the form

$$h(k, i) = (h_1(k) + i * h_2(k)) \% m.$$

The permutations produced have many of the characteristics of randomly chosen permutations.

Note: It has the only disadvantage that as soon as the hash table fills up the performance degrades.

Robin Hood hashing

Robin Hood hashing is a variation of open addressing where keys can be moved after they are placed.

When an existing key is found during an insertion that is closer to its preferred location than the new key, it is displaced (relocation) to make room for it.

- This dramatically decreases the variance in the expected number of searches (lookups).
- It also makes it possible to terminate searches (lookups) early.

Note: Assuming truly random hash functions, the variance of the expected number of probes required in Robin Hood hashing is $O(\log \log n)$.


Robin Hood hashing – Insertion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

k		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
28				28						
61	25									8
99	24									9
35 →	23									10
9	22									11
55	21								99	
		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	

Robin Hood hashing – Insertion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.



Robin Hood hashing – Insertion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

k		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
28				28						
61	25	RELOCATION								8
99	24								9	9
35	23								35	10
9	22								61	11
55 →	21								99	12
		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	

Robin Hood hashing – Insertion

Let $h'(k) = k \% 26$.

k		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
28				28	55						
61	25	INSERTION								8	
99	24									9	9
35	23									35	10
9	22									61	11
55	21								99		12
		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13		

Cuckoo hashing

We choose a pair of hash functions h_1 and h_2 such that $h_1 : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ and $h_2 : [n] \rightarrow [m]$.

We use two tables, each of which can accommodate m items. Every item $k \in R$ will either be at position $h_1(k)$ in the first table or at $h_2(k)$ in the second.

Cuckoo hashing

We choose a pair of hash functions h_1 and h_2 such that $h_1 : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ and $h_2 : [n] \rightarrow [m]$.

We use two tables, each of which can accommodate m items. Every item $k \in R$ will either be at position $h_1(k)$ in the first table or at $h_2(k)$ in the second.

Note: New hash functions might be required to be introduced in case of critical conditions.

Cuckoo hashing – Insertion

- 1 To insert an item k , start by inserting it into Table 1.
- 2 If $h_1(k)$ is empty, place k there.
- 3 Otherwise, place k there, taking out the old item k' and relocating it into Table 2 at $h_2(k')$.
- 4 Repeat this process, bouncing between the tables, until all the items stabilize.
- 5 If the same position is revisited with the same item to insert (known as a *cycle*), perform rehashing by choosing a new pair of hash functions and insert all items back into the tables.


Cuckoo hashing – Insertion

- 1 To insert an item k , start by inserting it into Table 1.
- 2 If $h_1(k)$ is empty, place k there.
- 3 Otherwise, place k there, taking out the old item k' and relocating it into Table 2 at $h_2(k')$.
- 4 Repeat this process, bouncing between the tables, until all the items stabilize.
- 5 If the same position is revisited with the same item to insert (known as a *cycle*), perform rehashing by choosing a new pair of hash functions and insert all items back into the tables.

Note: Multiple rehashes might be necessary before it succeeds.


Cuckoo hashing – Insertion

Let $h_1(k) = k \% 8$ and $h_2(k) = \lceil \log_{10} k \rceil$.




Cuckoo hashing – Insertion

Let $h_1(k) = k \% 8$ and $h_2(k) = \lceil \log_{10} k \rceil$.




Cuckoo hashing – Insertion

Let $h_1(k) = k \% 8$ and $h_2(k) = \lceil \log_{10} k \rceil$.




Cuckoo hashing – Insertion

Let $h_1(k) = k \% 8$ and $h_2(k) = \lceil \log_{10} k \rceil$.




Cuckoo hashing – Insertion

Let $h_1(k) = k \% 8$ and $h_2(k) = \lceil \log_{10} k \rceil$.



Cuckoo hashing – Insertion

Let $h_1(k) = k \% 8$ and $h_2(k) = \lceil \log_{10} k \rceil$.





Cuckoo hashing – Insertion


Let $h_1(k) = k \% 8$ and $h_2(k) = \lceil \log_{10} k \rceil$.

k	0								
10	1	1							
1	2	10					92		
92	3								
4	4	4							
2 →	5								
	6								
	7								

2ND CHOICE


Cuckoo hashing – Insertion

Let $h_1(k) = k \% 8$ and $h_2(k) = \lceil \log_{10} k \rceil$.



Cuckoo hashing – The cuckoo graph


- The **cuckoo graph** is a bipartite multigraph derived from a cuckoo hash table.
- Each table slot is a node.
- Each element is an edge.
- Edges link slots where each element can be.
- Each insertion introduces a new edge into the graph.



Note: An insertion in cuckoo hash tables traces a path through the cuckoo graph. An insertion succeeds iff the connected component containing the inserted item contains at most one cycle.


Cuckoo hashing – Deletion

Let $h_1(k) = k \% 8$ and $h_2(k) = \lceil \log_{10} k \rceil$.



Cuckoo hashing – Deletion


Let $h_1(k) = k \% 8$ and $h_2(k) = \lceil \log_{10} k \rceil$.



Cryptography


Requirement	Description
Variable input size	h can be applied to a block of data of any size
Fixed output size	h produces a fixed-length output
Efficiency	$h(k)$ is relatively easy to compute for any given k , making both hardware and software implementations practical
Preimage resistant (one-way property)	For any given hash value k^* , it is computationally infeasible to find k such that $k^* = h(k)$
Second preimage resistant (weak collision resistant)	For any given key k_1 , it is computationally infeasible to find $k_2 \neq k_1$ with $h(k_1) = h(k_2)$
Collision resistant (strong collision resistant)	It is computationally infeasible to find any pair (k_1, k_2) such that $h(k_1) = h(k_2)$
Pseudorandomness	Output of k meets standard tests for pseudorandomness

Cryptography



The MD5 hashing mechanism

Dimensionality reduction



The locality sensitive hashing (LSH)

Problems – Day 17

- 4 Suppose a set of n strings and a query string are given. Find out the top k ($< n$) (taken as user input) strings that have least match with the query string. A match between a pair of strings is defined by the number of common characters (case insensitive and excluding spaces) therein.
- 5 Given an integer matrix with its dimensions and a column number as input, write a program to efficiently find out all the columns that are permutation of the given column.

Input Format:

```
4 4 0 // No. of rows, No. of columns, input column
30 70 40 20
20 90 10 30
40 30 20 10
10 50 30 40
```

Output: 2, 3

Problems – Day 17

- 6 Suppose you are given with a set of integers I . Return all possible proper subsets of integers of I that sum to zero.
- 7 Let us assume that the visual orientations of both the children against the parent form an angle of $\pi/4$ in a binary tree. Based on this consideration, return the vertical sums of elements within a binary tree provided as user input.

Input Format:

```
1 2 3
2 -1 -1
3 4 5
5 7 8
6 -1 -1
7 -1 -1
8 -1 -1
```

Output: 9 6 11 6