

# Computing Laboratory

## Recap

Malay Bhattacharyya

Assistant Professor

Machine Intelligence Unit  
Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata

January, 2021



# 1 Problems

## Problem 2-2

Suppose the version number of a software is denoted as  $x_1.x_2.\dots.x_n$  where  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $\dots$ , and  $x_n$  denote the version number, subversion number, and so on, respectively. E.g., GCC 9.1 is a version of GNU C Compiler. Consider that  $x_i \in [0, 9]$  for all  $i$ . Given the lower and upper bound of version numbers of a software and  $n$  (the number of components of a version number) as user inputs, list up all the possible versions that might exist within the given range (inclusive).

# Some random thoughts!!!

- Given the bounds in the form  $x_1.x_2.\dots.x_n$ , we need to have controls over those  $n$  components.

# Some random thoughts!!!

- Given the bounds in the form  $x_1.x_2.\dots.x_n$ , we need to have controls over those  $n$  components.
- The controls that we require over the said components are iterative in nature.

# Some random thoughts!!!

- Given the bounds in the form  $x_1.x_2.\dots.x_n$ , we need to have controls over those  $n$  components.
- The controls that we require over the said components are iterative in nature.
- Do we really need  $n$  loops?

# Understanding the problem – Simple case

**Lower bound: 1.2, Upper bound: 2.1**

	$x_1$	.	$x_2$	
<b>Lower bound</b>	1	.	2	12
...	1	.	3	13
...	...	.	...	...
...	2	.	0	20
<b>Upper bound</b>	2	.	1	21

# Understanding the problem – Simple case

**Lower bound: 1.2, Upper bound: 2.1**

	$x_1$	.	$x_2$	
<b>Lower bound</b>	1	.	2	12
...	1	.	3	13
...	...	.	...	...
...	2	.	0	20
<b>Upper bound</b>	2	.	1	21

**Note:** The constraint  $x_i \in [0, 9]$  for all  $i$  is essentially a favorable scenario here.



# Understanding the problem – Special case I

**Lower bound: 1.2.1, Upper bound: 2.1**

	$x_1$	.	$x_2$	.	$x_3$	
<b>Lower bound</b>	1	.	2	.	1	121
...	1	.	2	.	2	122
...	...	.	...	.	...	...
...	2	.	0	.	9	209
<b>Upper bound</b>	2	.	1			210 (A mismatch)

# Understanding the problem – Special case II

**Lower bound: 1.2, Upper bound: 2.1.1**

	$x_1$	.	$x_2$	.	$x_3$	
<b>Lower bound</b>	1	.	2	.		120 (A mismatch)
...	1	.	2	.	1	121
...	...	.	...	.	...	...
...	2	.	1	.	0	210
<b>Upper bound</b>	2	.	1	.	1	211

# Brainstorming on the problem

- Can we remove dots ('.') from the input bounds of version numbers and get them as integers?

# Brainstorming on the problem

- Can we remove dots ('.') from the input bounds of version numbers and get them as integers?
  - Use the `replace()` and `int()` function

# Brainstorming on the problem

- Can we remove dots ('.') from the input bounds of version numbers and get them as integers?
  - Use the `replace()` and `int()` function
- Can we loop through the integers?

# Brainstorming on the problem

- Can we remove dots ('.') from the input bounds of version numbers and get them as integers?
  - Use the `replace()` and `int()` function
- Can we loop through the integers?
  - Use a `for` loop

# Brainstorming on the problem

- Can we remove dots ('.') from the input bounds of version numbers and get them as integers?
  - Use the `replace()` and `int()` function
- Can we loop through the integers?
  - Use a `for` loop
- Can we put the dots ('.') back to the version numbers?

# Brainstorming on the problem

- Can we remove dots ('.') from the input bounds of version numbers and get them as integers?
  - Use the `replace()` and `int()` function
- Can we loop through the integers?
  - Use a `for` loop
- Can we put the dots ('.') back to the version numbers?
  - Use the `print()` function with an appropriate end delimiter



# Brainstorming on the problem

- Can we remove dots ('.') from the input bounds of version numbers and get them as integers?
  - Use the `replace()` and `int()` function
- Can we loop through the integers?
  - Use a `for` loop
- Can we put the dots ('.') back to the version numbers?
  - Use the `print()` function with an appropriate end delimiter
- How to deal with the bordering mismatches?

# Brainstorming on the problem

- Can we remove dots ('.') from the input bounds of version numbers and get them as integers?
  - Use the `replace()` and `int()` function
- Can we loop through the integers?
  - Use a `for` loop
- Can we put the dots ('.') back to the version numbers?
  - Use the `print()` function with an appropriate end delimiter
- How to deal with the bordering mismatches?
  - Print the input bounds in exact and loop through the intermediate values (exclusive)

# The approach

- 1 Print the lower bound of version number.
- 2 Convert the lower and upper bounds to integers.
  - i) Remove dots.
  - ii) Match the lengths by padding trailing zeros.
  - iii) Convert to integers.
- 3 Loop through the intermediate values (exclusive).
- 4 Print the intermediate values as version numbers.
  - i) Convert to strings.
  - ii) Insert dots.
- 5 Print the upper bound of version number.

## Writing the code

```
def RangeVer(lb, ub):
    for v in range(lb, ub):
        for i in range(len(str(v))-1):
            print(str(v)[i], end = '.')
        print(str(v)[i+1])
lb, ub = input("Enter lower & upper bound: ").split()
print(lb)                # Lower bound as given by user
lbn, ubn = lb.replace('.', ''), ub.replace('.', '')
if len(lbn) > len(ubn):
    ubn = ubn.ljust(len(lbn), '0')    # Trailing zeros
lbn = lbn.ljust(len(ubn), '0')      # Trailing zeros
RangeVer(int(lbn)+1, int(ubn))      # Exclusive range
print(ub)                    # Upper bound as given by user
```