

LIE ALGEBROID-V

GOUTAM MUKHERJEE
STAT-MATH UNIT
INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, KOLKATA

The cochain groups defining cohomology of a Lie algebroid admit operators satisfying properties identical to those which hold in the calculus of vector-valued forms on a manifold. Let A be a Lie algebroid and fix a section $X \in \Gamma A$.

Definition 1.

- The Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_X : \Gamma C^n(A, E) \longrightarrow \Gamma C^n(A, E)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_X(f)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \rho(X)(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) - \sum_{r=1}^n f(X_1, \dots, [X, X_r], \dots, X_n),$$

- The interior multiplication $i_X : \Gamma C^{n+1}(A, E) \longrightarrow \Gamma C^n(A, E)$, for $n > 0$, is defined by

$$i_X(f)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = f(X, X_1, \dots, X_n),$$

where $f \in \Gamma C^n(A, E)$, $X_r \in \Gamma A$, $1 \leq r \leq n$.

The operators \mathcal{L}_X , i_X and the coboundary d satisfy the following formulas. The proofs of these formulas are easy and analogous to the proofs of the corresponding formulas in the context of calculus of forms on a manifold.

Proposition 2. (1) For $X, Y \in \Gamma A$, $u \in C^\infty(M)$, and $f \in \Gamma C^n(A, E)$,

$$i_X(uf) = ui_X(f), \quad i_{uX}(f) = ui_X(f), \quad \text{and,} \quad i_X i_Y = -i_Y i_X,$$

(2) for $X \in \Gamma A$, $u \in C^\infty(M)$, $f \in \Gamma C^n(A, E)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_X(uf) = u\mathcal{L}_X(f) + a(X)(u)(f),$$

(3) for $X, X_1, \dots, X_n \in \Gamma A$, $u \in C^\infty(M)$, $f \in \Gamma C^n(A, E)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{uX}(f)(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) &= u\mathcal{L}_X(f)(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \\ &+ \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r-1} a(X_r)(u) i_X(f)(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_r, \dots, X_n), \end{aligned}$$

(4) for $X, Y \in \Gamma A$

$$\mathcal{L}_{[X, Y]} = \mathcal{L}_X \circ \mathcal{L}_Y - \mathcal{L}_Y \circ \mathcal{L}_X,$$

(5) $\mathcal{L}_X = i_X \circ d + d \circ i_X$, for $X \in \Gamma A$,

(6) for $X \in \Gamma A$, $\mathcal{L}_X \circ d = d \circ \mathcal{L}_X$,

(7) for $X, Y \in \Gamma A$,

$$[\mathcal{L}_X, i_X] = \mathcal{L}_X \circ i_Y - i_Y \circ \mathcal{L}_X = i_{[X, Y]}.$$

Proof: The proof of (1) is easy and follows from the definition of the interior multiplication.

For (2), note that

$$(u\mathcal{L}_X(f) + a(X)(u)(f))(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = u\rho(X)(f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)) - \sum_{r=1}^n uf(X_1, \dots, [X, X_r], \dots, X_n) + a(X)(u)(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)). \dots (i)$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{L}_X(uf)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \rho(X)(uf(X_1, \dots, X_n)) - \sum_{r=1}^n uf(X_1, \dots, [X, X_r], \dots, X_n).$$

Note that $\rho(X) : \Gamma E \rightarrow \Gamma E$ is a derivation. Therefore,

$$\rho(X)(uf(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = u\rho(X)(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) + \alpha(\rho(X))(u)f(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

Since $\rho : A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(E)$ is a Lie algebroid homomorphism, we have $\alpha \circ \rho = a$. Thus the first and the third term of the right hand side of (i) combined together yield the result.

To prove (3) observe that

$$\mathcal{L}_{uX}(f)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \rho(uX)(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) - \sum_{r=1}^n f(X_1, \dots, [uX, X_r], \dots, X_n). \dots (i)$$

and

$$u\mathcal{L}_X(f)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = u\rho(X)(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) - \sum_{r=1}^n uf(X_1, \dots, [X, X_r], \dots, X_n). \dots (ii)$$

Now observe that $\rho : A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(E)$ is a vector bundle morphism and therefore, the assignment

$$\Gamma A \rightarrow \Gamma \mathcal{D}(E), X \mapsto \rho(X)$$

is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear. Moreover, we have the identity

$$[uX, Y] = u[X, Y] - a(Y)(u)X, \quad u \in C^\infty(M), \quad X, Y \in \Gamma A.$$

Using these we get from (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{uX}(f)(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= u\rho(X)(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) - \sum_{r=1}^n f(X_1, \dots, u[X, X_r] - a(X_r)(u)X, \dots, X_n). \\ &= u\rho(X)(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) - \sum_{r=1}^n uf(X_1, \dots, [X, X_r], \dots, X_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^n a(X_r)(u)f(X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}, X, X_{r+1}, \dots, X_n). \dots (iii) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (iii), we get from (i) and (ii),

$$\mathcal{L}_{uX}(f)(X_1, \dots, X_n) - u\mathcal{L}_X(f)(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{r=1}^n a(X_r)(u) f(X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}, X, X_{r+1}, \dots, X_n) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r-1} a(X_r)(u) f(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}, X_{r+1}, \dots, X_n) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r-1} a(X_r)(u) i_X f(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_r, \dots, X_n).
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (7), let $X, Y \in \Gamma A$, $n > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_X \circ_Y (f)(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) &= \rho(X)(i_Y(f)(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})) \\
&\quad - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} i_Y(f)(X_1, \dots, [X, X_r], \dots, X_{n-1}) \\
&= \rho(X)(f(Y, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})) - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} f(Y, X_1, \dots, [X, X_r], \dots, X_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
i_Y \circ \mathcal{L}_X (f)(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) &= \mathcal{L}_X (f)(Y, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\
&= \rho(X)(f(Y, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})) - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} f(Y, X_1, \dots, [X, X_r], \dots, X_{n-1}) \\
&\quad - f([X, Y], X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathcal{L}_X \circ i_Y - i_Y \circ \mathcal{L}_X)(f)(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\
&= f([X, Y], X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) = i_{[X, Y]}(f)(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the result.

Next, we prove (5). By definition of i_X , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i_X \circ d(f)(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= d(f)(X, X_1, \dots, X_n) = \rho(X)(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \\
&+ \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^r \rho(X_r) f(X, X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_r, \dots, X_n) + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j f([X, X_j], X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_n) \\
&\quad + \sum_{r < s} (-1)^{r+s} f([X_r, X_s], X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_r, \dots, \hat{X}_s, \dots, X_n).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
d \circ i_X (f)(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} \rho(X_r) i_X (f)(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_r, \dots, X_n) \\
&+ \sum_{r < s} (-1)^{r+s} i_X (f)([X_r, X_s], X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_r, \dots, \hat{X}_s, \dots, X_n). \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} \rho(X_r) (f)(X, X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_r, \dots, X_n)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{r < s} (-1)^{r+s} (f)(X, [X_r, X_s], X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_r, \dots, \hat{X}_s, \dots, X_n).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (i_X \circ d + d \circ i_X)(f)(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ &= \rho(X)(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j f([X, X_j], X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_n) \\ &= \rho(X)(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) - \sum_{j=1}^n f(X_1, \dots, [X, X_j], \dots, X_n) = \mathcal{L}_X(f)(X_1, \dots, X_n). \end{aligned}$$

The property (6) follows immediately from (5) as $d^2 = 0$.

Remark 3. *Properties (5) and (7) are known as Cartan formulas.*

Property (4) may be proved by an induction argument. Note that for $n = 0$, $C^0(A, E) = \Gamma E$, the space of all smooth sections of E and by definition, for any $X \in \Gamma A$, $\mathcal{L}_X : \Gamma E \rightarrow \Gamma E$ is given by $\mathcal{L}_X(f) = \rho(X)(f)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{[X,Y]}(f) &= \rho([X, Y])(f) = [\rho(X), \rho(Y)]f = (\rho(X)\rho(Y) - \rho(Y)\rho(X))f \\ &= \rho(X)(\rho(Y)f) - \rho(Y)(\rho(X)f) = \mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Y(f) - \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_X(f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the identity holds for $n = 0$. Assume that the identity holds for $n \geq 0$. We will prove it for $n + 1$.

Let $f \in C^{n+1}(A, E)$. Then for any arbitrary $Z \in \Gamma A$, $i_Z(f) \in C^n(A, E)$. Therefore, by induction hypothesis, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma A$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{[X,Y]}(i_Z(f)) = (\mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Y - \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_X)i_Z(f) \cdots (i)$$

On the other hand, by property (7)

$$\mathcal{L}_{[X,Y]}(i_Z(f)) = (i_Z \mathcal{L}_{[X,Y]} + i_{[[X,Y],Z]})(f).$$

Again, by (7),

$$\mathcal{L}_Y i_Z(f) = (i_Z \mathcal{L}_Y + i_{[Y,Z]})(f).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Y i_Z(f) &= \mathcal{L}_X (i_Z \mathcal{L}_Y + i_{[Y,Z]})(f) \\ &= \{(i_Z \mathcal{L}_X + i_{[X,Z]})\mathcal{L}_Y + (i_{[Y,Z]}\mathcal{L}_X + i_{[X,[Y,Z]]})\}(f) \\ &= \{i_Z \mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Y + i_{[X,Z]}\mathcal{L}_Y + i_{[Y,Z]}\mathcal{L}_X + i_{[X,[Y,Z]]}\}(f). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, changing the role of X and Y we get,

$$\mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_X i_Z(f) = \{i_Z \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_X + i_{[Y,Z]}\mathcal{L}_X + i_{[X,Z]}\mathcal{L}_Y + i_{[Y,[X,Z]]}\}(f).$$

Therefore,

$$(\mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Y - \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_X)i_Z(f) = i_Z(\mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Y - \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_X)(f) + (i_{[X,[Y,Z]]} - i_{[Y,[X,Z]]})(f).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\mathcal{L}_{[X,Y]} - (\mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Y - \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_X)\}i_Z(f) \\ &= i_Z\{\mathcal{L}_{[X,Y]} - (\mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Y - \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_X)\}(f) + (i_{[[X,Y],Z]} + i_{[[Y,Z],X]} + i_{[[Z,X],Y]})(f) \end{aligned}$$

$$= i_Z\{\mathcal{L}_{[X,Y]} - (\mathcal{L}_X\mathcal{L}_Y - \mathcal{L}_Y\mathcal{L}_X)\}(f).$$

Note that by Jacobi identity, the last term is 0.

Now observe that by (i),

$$i_Z\{\mathcal{L}_{[X,Y]} - (\mathcal{L}_X\mathcal{L}_Y - \mathcal{L}_Y\mathcal{L}_X)\}(f) = 0.$$

Since $Z \in \Gamma A$ is arbitrary, we must have,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{[X,Y]} - (\mathcal{L}_X\mathcal{L}_Y - \mathcal{L}_Y\mathcal{L}_X))(f) = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

E-mail address: goutam@isical.ac.in