

Geometry of Maurer-Cartan Elements on Complex Manifolds

PING XU



Workshop on Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids
Kolkata, December 2012

JOINT WITH ZHUO CHEN AND MATHIEU STIÉNON

	Quantum	Classical
Real	*-product	Poisson manifolds
Complex	stacks of algebroids (Kashiwara, Kontsevich)	Maurer-Cartan elements

A **complex Lie algebroid** consists of

- a complex vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$,
- a bundle map $a : A \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ called **anchor**
- and a Lie algebra **bracket** $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on the space of sections $\Gamma(A)$

such that

- a gives a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\Gamma(A)$ to $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$
- and the Leibniz rule

$$[u, fv] = (a(u)f)v + f[u, v]$$

holds $\forall f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{C})$ and $u, v \in \Gamma(A)$.

A — vector bundle over M

FACT: A is a Lie algebroid
 \Updownarrow
 $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \wedge, [,]) is a Gerstenhaber algebra
 \Updownarrow
 $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*), \wedge, d)$ is a differential graded algebra$

Here d is a degree 1 derivation of the graded commutative algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*), \wedge)$ such that $d^2 = 0$:

$$(d\alpha)(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i a(u_i) \alpha(u_0, \dots, \hat{u}_i, \dots, u_n) \\ + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha([u_i, u_j], u_0, \dots, \hat{u}_i, \dots, \hat{u}_j, \dots, u_n).$$

$A \rightarrow M$ — complex vector bundle.

$(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \wedge, [,], d_*)$ is a differential Gerstenhaber algebra



Both A and A^* are Lie algebroids + compatibility conditions.

LIE BIALGEBROID

DEFORMATIONS OF LIE BIALGEBROIDS AND THE MAURER-CARTAN EQUATION

- (A, A^*) — complex Lie bialgebroid
- $H \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$

Twist the differential $d_* : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A)$ by H :

$$d_*^H : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A), \quad d_*^H u = d_* u + [H, u].$$

THEOREM (LIU-WEINSTEIN-XU, 1997): If H satisfies the **Maurer-Cartan equation**

$$d_* H + \frac{1}{2}[H, H] = 0, \tag{1}$$

then $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot], d_*^H)$ is again a differential Gerstenhaber algebra. Thus (A, A_H^*) is a Lie bialgebroid.

Any solution $H \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ to (1) is called a **Hamiltonian operator**.

The Lie algebroid structure on A_H^* can be described explicitly:

$$a_*^H = a_* + a \circ H^\sharp$$

and

$$[\alpha, \beta]_*^H = [\alpha, \beta]_* + [\alpha, \beta]_H.$$

Here

$$[\alpha, \beta]_H = L_{H^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - L_{H^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha - d_*\langle H^\sharp(\alpha) | \beta \rangle,$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

MAURER-CARTAN ELEMENTS ON COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

EXTENDED POISSON STRUCTURES

\mathbf{X} — complex manifold of complex dimension n with almost complex structure J

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbf{X} = T\mathbf{X} \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}^*\mathbf{X} = T^*\mathbf{X} \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

$\mathbb{J} : T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbf{X} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbf{X}$ — \mathbb{C} -linear extension of J

$T^{1,0}\mathbf{X}$ and $T^{0,1}\mathbf{X}$ — its eigenbundles ($\lambda = \pm\sqrt{-1}$)

$$T^{p,q}\mathbf{X} = \wedge^p T^{1,0}\mathbf{X} \otimes \wedge^q T^{0,1}\mathbf{X}$$

$$(T^{p,q}\mathbf{X})^* = \wedge^p (T^{1,0}\mathbf{X})^* \otimes \wedge^q (T^{0,1}\mathbf{X})^*$$

Consider

$$A = T^{1,0}\mathbf{X} \oplus (T^{0,1}\mathbf{X})^*, \quad A^* = T^{0,1}\mathbf{X} \oplus (T^{1,0}\mathbf{X})^*.$$

Endow A with a complex Lie algebroid structure as follows:

- 1 anchor is Pr_1
- 2 bracket fully determined by
 - $[\theta, \omega] = 0, \quad \forall \theta, \omega \in \Omega^{0,1}$
 - $[X, Y]$ defined in the usual way, $\forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}\mathbf{X})$
 - $[X, \theta] = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}\mathbf{X})$ and $\theta \in \Omega^{0,1}$ s.t. $\bar{\partial}X = 0$ and $\partial\theta = 0$.

Similarly, A^* may also be endowed with a complex Lie algebroid structure.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\wedge^k A &= \bigoplus_{i+j=k} T^{i,0} \mathbf{X} \otimes (T^{0,j} \mathbf{X})^*, \\ \wedge^k A^* &= \bigoplus_{i+j=k} T^{0,i} \mathbf{X} \otimes (T^{j,0} \mathbf{X})^*.\end{aligned}$$

The Lie algebroid differentials d_* and d induced by the Lie algebroid structures on A^* and A are the usual $\bar{\partial}$ - and ∂ -operators, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\partial} : \Omega^{0,j}(T^{i,0} \mathbf{X}) &\rightarrow \Omega^{0,j+1}(T^{i,0} \mathbf{X}), \\ \partial : \Omega^{j,0}(T^{0,i} \mathbf{X}) &\rightarrow \Omega^{j+1,0}(T^{0,i} \mathbf{X}).\end{aligned}$$

$$A = T^{1,0}\mathbf{X} \oplus (T^{0,1}\mathbf{X})^* \quad A^* = T^{0,1}\mathbf{X} \oplus (T^{1,0}\mathbf{X})^*$$

FACT: (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid

DEFINITION: An **extended Poisson manifold** (\mathbf{X}, H) is a complex manifold \mathbf{X} equipped with an $H \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ which is a Hamiltonian operator with respect to (A, A^*) , i.e.

$$\bar{\partial}H + \frac{1}{2}[H, H] = 0. \quad (2)$$

H is called an **extended Poisson structure**.

Write

$$H = \pi + \theta + \omega,$$

where

- $\pi \in \Gamma(T^{2,0}\mathbf{X});$
- $\theta \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}\mathbf{X} \otimes (T^{0,1}\mathbf{X})^*);$
- $\omega \in \Gamma((T^{0,2}\mathbf{X})^*).$

Then

$H = \pi + \theta + \omega$ is
extended
Poisson

\iff

$$\begin{cases} [\omega, \omega] = 0 \\ \bar{\partial}\omega + [\omega, \theta] = 0 \\ \bar{\partial}\theta + \frac{1}{2}[\theta, \theta] + [\omega, \pi] = 0 \\ \bar{\partial}\pi + [\theta, \pi] = 0 \\ [\pi, \pi] = 0 \end{cases}$$

REMARK: If $\pi + \theta + \omega$ is a solution of the MC equation, then so is $\lambda\pi + \theta + \lambda^{-1}\omega$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

EXAMPLES:

- 1 $H = \pi$ is an extended Poisson
 $\Leftrightarrow \pi$ is a holomorphic Poisson bivector field.
- 2 $H = \theta$ is an extended Poisson $\Leftrightarrow \bar{\partial}\theta + \frac{1}{2}[\theta, \theta] = 0$.
Moreover, if $\bar{\theta}^b \circ \theta^b - I$ is invertible, θ is equivalent to a deformed complex structure.
- 3 $H = \omega$ is an extended Poisson $\Leftrightarrow \bar{\partial}\omega = 0$.

MORPHISMS OF EXTENDED POISSON STRUCTURES (VIA COISOTROPIC SUBMANIFOLDS)

Coisotropic submanifolds

$Y \subset X$ — complex submanifold

$$(T^{1,0}Y)^\perp = \left\{ \xi \in (T^{1,0}X)^*|_Y \text{ s.t. } \langle \xi | v \rangle = 0, \forall v \in T^{1,0}Y \right\}$$

DEFINITION: A complex submanifold Y of an extended Poisson manifold (X, H) is called **coisotropic** if

$$H(v_1, v_2) = 0, \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in T^{0,1}Y \oplus (T^{1,0}Y)^\perp.$$

- 1 IF $H = \pi$, THEN \mathbf{Y} is coisotropic \Leftrightarrow it is coisotropic in the usual sense, i.e. $\pi^\sharp((T^{1,0}\mathbf{Y})^\perp) \subseteq T^{1,0}\mathbf{Y}$ or $\pi(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 0$, $\forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in (T^{1,0}\mathbf{Y})^\perp$.
- 2 IF $H = \omega$, THEN \mathbf{Y} is coisotropic $\Leftrightarrow \iota^*\omega = 0$, where $\iota: \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is the embedding map.
- 3 IF $H = \theta$, THEN \mathbf{Y} is coisotropic $\Leftrightarrow \theta^b(T^{0,1}\mathbf{Y}) \subseteq T^{1,0}\mathbf{Y}$.

Morphisms of extended Poisson structures

Let (\mathbf{X}_1, H_1) and (\mathbf{X}_2, H_2) be two extended Poisson manifolds. A holomorphic map $f : \mathbf{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_2$ is called an **extended Poisson map** if its graph

$$G_f = \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in \mathbf{X}_1\}$$

is a coisotropic submanifold of $\mathbf{X}_1 \times \mathbf{X}_2^\dagger$, where \mathbf{X}_2^\dagger means $(\mathbf{X}_2, H_2^\dagger = -\pi + \theta - \omega)$.

FACTS:

- 1 Let $f : \mathbf{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_2$ be a holomorphic map between two extended Poisson manifolds (\mathbf{X}_1, H_1) and (\mathbf{X}_2, H_2) with $H_i = \pi_i + \theta_i + \omega_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

$$\boxed{f \text{ is an extended Poisson map}} \iff \begin{cases} f_*\pi_1 = \pi_2 \\ f^*\omega_2 = \omega_1 \\ f_* \circ \theta_1^b = \theta_2^b \circ f_* \end{cases}$$

- 2 The composition of two extended Poisson maps is again an extended Poisson map.

HOMOLOGY AND COHOMOLOGY OF EXTENDED POISSON MANIFOLDS

Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology

$H^\bullet(\mathbf{X}, H) =$ cohomology of the Lie algebroid A_H^*

(aka tangent cohomology)

It is the cohomology of the cochain complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}^H} \Gamma(\wedge^k \mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}^H} \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} \mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}^H} \cdots,$$

where

$$\Gamma(\wedge^k \mathbf{A}) = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \Omega^{0,j}(T^{i,0} \mathbf{X})$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}^H = \bar{\partial} + [H, \cdot].$$

PARTICULAR CASE I

$H = \pi$ — holomorphic Poisson bivector field

$$A_H^* = T^{0,1}X \rtimes (T^{1,0}X)_\pi^* \text{ “matched pair”}$$

$H^\bullet(X, H)$ = total cohomology of the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \dots & & \dots & & \dots & \\
 & \uparrow d_\pi & & \uparrow d_\pi & & \uparrow d_\pi & \\
 \Omega^{0,0}(X, T^{2,0}X) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \Omega^{0,1}(X, T^{2,0}X) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \Omega^{0,2}(X, T^{2,0}X) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \dots \\
 & \uparrow d_\pi & & \uparrow d_\pi & & \uparrow d_\pi & \\
 \Omega^{0,0}(X, T^{1,0}X) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \Omega^{0,1}(X, T^{1,0}X) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \Omega^{0,2}(X, T^{1,0}X) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \dots \\
 & \uparrow d_\pi & & \uparrow d_\pi & & \uparrow d_\pi & \\
 \Omega^{0,0}(X, T^{0,0}X) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \Omega^{0,1}(X, T^{0,0}X) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \Omega^{0,2}(X, T^{0,0}X) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \dots
 \end{array}$$

EXAMPLE

Consider $X = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$

- $\dim H^0(X, \wedge^2 TX) = 9$
- In the affine chart

$$U = \{([1 : x], [1 : w])\},$$

any holomorphic bivector field reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi = & (a_1 + a_2x + a_3x^2 + a_4w + a_5xw + a_6x^2w + a_7w^2 \\ & + a_8xw^2 + a_9x^2w^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \end{aligned}$$

where a_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, 9$ are constant numbers.

THEOREM (HONG & XU): The Poisson cohomology of $(X = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1, \pi)$ is

$$\dim H_{\pi}^0(X) = 1$$

$$\dim H_{\pi}^1(X) = 6 - \text{rank}(M)$$

$$\dim H_{\pi}^2(X) = 9 - \text{rank}(M)$$

$$\dim H_{\pi}^i(X) = 0 \quad (i \geq 3)$$

where M is the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -a_2 & a_1 & 0 & -a_4 & a_1 & 0 \\ -2a_3 & 0 & 2a_1 & -a_5 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_3 & a_2 & -a_6 & a_3 & 0 \\ -a_5 & a_4 & 0 & -2a_7 & 0 & 2a_1 \\ -2a_6 & 0 & 2a_4 & -2a_8 & 0 & 2a_2 \\ 0 & -a_6 & a_5 & -2a_9 & 0 & 2a_3 \\ -a_8 & a_7 & 0 & 0 & -a_7 & a_4 \\ -2a_9 & 0 & 2a_7 & 0 & -a_8 & a_5 \\ 0 & -a_9 & a_8 & 0 & -a_9 & a_6 \end{pmatrix}$$

PARTICULAR CASE II

$H = \theta \in \Omega^{0,1}(T^{1,0}\mathbf{X})$ — a Maurer-Cartan element such that $\bar{\theta}^b \circ \theta^b - I$ is invertible

Then

$$H^k(\mathbf{X}, H) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=k} H^i(\mathbf{X}, \wedge^j T_\theta \mathbf{X}).$$

$T_\theta \mathbf{X}$ — the holomorphic tangent bundle of the deformed complex manifold \mathbf{X}

In particular, if $H = 0$, then

$$H^k(\mathbf{X}, H) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=k} H^i(\mathbf{X}, \wedge^j T\mathbf{X}).$$

Koszul-Brylinski homology

$H_\bullet(\mathbf{X}, H) =$ cohomology of the Lie algbd A_H^* with coeff in \mathcal{L}

$\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L} = Q_{A_H^*}$ — Evens-Lu-Weinstein module

$B \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ — complex Lie algebroid with anchor map a

EVENS-LU-WEINSTEIN MODULE

$$Q_B = \wedge^{\text{top}} B \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}} T_{\mathbb{C}}^* \mathbf{X}$$

The representation of B on Q_B is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\alpha}(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n \otimes \mu) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge [\alpha, \alpha_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n \otimes \mu) \\ &\quad + \alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n \otimes L_{a(\alpha)}\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma(B), \mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}} T_{\mathbb{C}}^* \mathbf{X})$.

Now

$$A_H^* = T^{0,1} \mathbf{X} \oplus (T^{1,0} \mathbf{X})^*,$$
$$Q_{A_H^*} = \wedge^n (T^{1,0} \mathbf{X})^* \otimes \wedge^n (T^{1,0} \mathbf{X})^*.$$

Accordingly,

$$\mathcal{L} = Q_{A_H^*}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cong \wedge^n (T^{1,0} \mathbf{X})^*.$$

Associated differential operator:

$$\check{d}_*^H : \Gamma(\wedge^k \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathcal{L}).$$

LEMMA: The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Gamma(\wedge^k \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\check{d}_*^H} & \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \\
 \tau \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \tau \\
 \bigoplus_{i-j=n-k} \Omega^{i,j} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_+[\partial, \iota_H]} & \bigoplus_{i-j=n-k-1} \Omega^{i,j}
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

$$\iota_H \lambda = -H \cdot \lambda$$

“.” — Clifford action

PROPOSITION: The Koszul-Brylinski homology $H_k(\mathbf{X}, H)$ of the extended Poisson manifold (\mathbf{X}, H) is isomorphic to the cohomology of the cochain complex

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i-j=n-k} \Omega^{i,j}, \bar{\partial} + [\partial, \iota_H] \right).$$

EXAMPLE I (S, arXiv:0903.5065)

$H = \pi$ — holomorphic Poisson bivector field

Then $H_\bullet(X, H)$ is isomorphic to the total cohomology of the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{n-k+1,0} & \xrightarrow{(-1)^k \partial_\pi} & \Omega^{n-k,0} & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{k+1} \partial_\pi} & \Omega^{n-k-1,0} \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & \bar{\partial} \downarrow & & \bar{\partial} \downarrow & & \bar{\partial} \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{n-k+1,1} & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{1+k} \partial_\pi} & \Omega^{n-k,1} & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{1+k+1} \partial_\pi} & \Omega^{n-k-1,1} \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & \bar{\partial} \downarrow & & \bar{\partial} \downarrow & & \bar{\partial} \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{n-k+1,2} & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{2+k} \partial_\pi} & \Omega^{n-k,2} & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{2+k+1} \partial_\pi} & \Omega^{n-k-1,2} \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & \bar{\partial} \downarrow & & \bar{\partial} \downarrow & & \bar{\partial} \downarrow \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

$$\partial_\pi = [\partial, i_\pi]$$

THEOREM (S): For any holomorphic Poisson bivector field π on $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, we have

$$H_0(X, \pi) = 0$$

$$H_1(X, \pi) = 0$$

$$H_2(X, \pi) \cong \mathbb{C}^4$$

$$H_3(X, \pi) = 0$$

$$H_4(X, \pi) = 0.$$

EXAMPLE II

$$H = \omega \in \Omega^{0,2}(\mathbf{X}) \quad \text{with } \bar{\partial}\omega = 0$$

Then

$$H_{\bullet}(\mathbf{X}, H) \cong H^{\bullet}\left(\bigoplus_{i-j=n-k} \Omega^{i,j}, \bar{\partial} + \partial\omega \wedge\right)$$

— the twisted Dolbeault cohomology

EXAMPLE III

$H = \theta \in \Omega^{0,1}(T^{1,0}\mathbf{X})$ — a Maurer-Cartan element such that $\bar{\theta}^b \circ \theta^b - I$ is invertible

Then

$$H_k(\mathbf{X}, \theta) \cong \bigoplus_{j-i=n-k} H_{\theta}^{i,j}(\mathbf{X}),$$

where $H_{\theta}^{i,j}(\mathbf{X})$ is the Dolbeault cohomology of the deformed complex structure.

Evens-Lu-Weinstein duality

- X — compact complex manifold
- B — a complex Lie algebroid over X with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}} B = r$

There is a natural map

$$\phi : \Gamma(\wedge^k B^* \times Q_B^{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes \Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}-k} B^* \times Q_B^{\frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}} B^* \otimes Q_B) \cong \Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}} T_{\mathbb{C}}^* X)$$

Integrating, one gets the pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\wedge^k B^* \times Q_B^{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes \Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}-k} B^* \times Q_B^{\frac{1}{2}}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ \xi \otimes \eta &\mapsto \int_X \phi(\xi \otimes \eta). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

THEOREM (EVENS-LU-WEINSTEIN 1997, BLOCK 2005): For a complex Lie algebroid B over a compact manifold X , map (3) induces a pairing

$$H^k(B, Q_B^{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes H^{\text{top}-k}(B, Q_B^{\frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover,

B is an elliptic Lie algebroid

\Rightarrow

pairing is non-degenerate

A complex Lie algebroid B is called **elliptic**,
if $\text{Re} \circ a_B : B \rightarrow T\mathbf{X}$ is surjective.

$$\begin{aligned} a_B : B &\rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbf{X} && \text{— anchor map} \\ \text{Re} : T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbf{X} &\rightarrow T\mathbf{X} && \text{— taking real part} \end{aligned}$$

MAIN THEOREM: Assume that (\mathbf{X}, H) is a compact extended Poisson manifold of complex dimension n .

1 Then the map

$$\Omega^{i,j} \otimes \Omega^{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \zeta \otimes \eta \mapsto \int_{\mathbf{X}} (\zeta \wedge \eta)^{\text{top}}$$

induces a pairing on the Koszul-Brylinski Poisson homology:

$$H_k(\mathbf{X}, H) \otimes H_{2n-k}(\mathbf{X}, H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (4)$$

2 And if

$$F = (\text{conjugation} + \theta^b) \oplus \pi^\sharp : T^{0,1}\mathbf{X} \oplus (T^{1,0}\mathbf{X})^* \rightarrow T^{1,0}\mathbf{X},$$

where $H = \pi + \theta + \omega$, is surjective, then

- $H^\bullet(\mathbf{X}, H)$ and $H_\bullet(\mathbf{X}, H)$ are all finite-dimensional;
- the pairing (4) is non-degenerate.

NOTE:

- 1 If the θ -term is missing, i.e. $H = \pi + \omega$, then F is automatically surjective.
- 2 If $H = 0$, we recover the Serre duality pairing on

$$H_k(\mathbf{X}, H) = \bigoplus_{j-i=n-k} H^{i,j}(\mathbf{X}).$$

QUESTION: What is $\sum_k (-1)^k \dim H_k(\mathbf{X}, H)$?

For a compact **holomorphic Poisson manifold** (X, π) , we have

$$\sum_k (-1)^k \dim H_k(X, \pi) = (-1)^n \chi(X),$$

where $\chi(X)$ denotes the standard Euler characteristic of X .
(S, arXiv:0903.5065)

MODULAR CLASSES

modular class of a complex Lie algebroid B = obstruction class for the Evens-Lu-Weinstein module Q_B to be trivial

- B — complex Lie algebroid over \mathbf{X}
with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}} B = r$ and $\dim \mathbf{X} = m$
- $Q_B = \wedge^r B \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^* \mathbf{X}$ — ELW module of B

Consider the complex of sheaves over \mathbf{X}

$$\hat{S}^0 \xrightarrow{\hat{d}_B} S^1 \xrightarrow{d_B} S^2 \dots \xrightarrow{d_B} S^r \quad (5)$$

where

- \hat{S}^0 — sheaf of nowhere vanishing smooth complex valued functions on \mathbf{X}
- S^\bullet — sheaf of sections of $\wedge^\bullet B^*$ (soft sheaf)
- $\hat{d}_B f = d_B \log f = \frac{d_B f}{f}$, $\forall f \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, $U \subset \mathbf{X}$
- d_B — usual Lie algebroid cohomology differential
- $\hat{H}^\bullet(B, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ — hypercohomology of this complex of sheaves

$\hat{H}^\bullet(B, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ can be computed by the total cohomology of the Čech double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}; \hat{S}^0) & \xrightarrow{\hat{d}_B} & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}; S^1) & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}; S^2) & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \dots \\
 \delta \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow & & \\
 \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}; \hat{S}^0) & \xrightarrow{\hat{d}_B} & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}; S^1) & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}; S^2) & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \dots \\
 \delta \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow & & \\
 \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}; \hat{S}^0) & \xrightarrow{\hat{d}_B} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}; S^1) & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}; S^2) & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \dots \\
 \delta \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow & & \\
 \dots & & \dots & & \dots & &
 \end{array} \tag{6}$$

where

- $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ — good covering of \mathbf{X}
- δ — usual Čech coboundary operator

MODULAR CLASS OF B

- 1 ω_i — nowhere vanishing sections of Q_B over U_i
- 2 $\exists! f_{ij} \in C^\infty(U_{ij}, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ such that $\omega_i = f_{ij}\omega_j$
- 3 $\xi_i \in \Gamma(B^*|_{U_i})$ s.t. $\nabla_X \omega_i = \langle \xi_i | X \rangle \omega_i, \forall X \in \Gamma(B|_{U_i})$ — modular 1-form on U_i corresponding to ω_i

Hence

$$\xi_i = \xi_j + \frac{d_B f_{ij}}{f_{ij}} = \xi_j + \hat{d}_B f_{ij}$$

\Downarrow

(ξ_i, f_{ij}) is a 1-cocycle of the double complex (6)

\Downarrow

defines a class $\boxed{\text{mod}(B) = [(\xi_i, f_{ij})] \in \hat{H}^1(B, \mathbb{C}^\times)}$

A complex Lie algebroid B is said to be **unimodular** if its modular class vanishes.

Because the sheaves \mathcal{S}^\bullet are soft, the short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow 0$$

induces the long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(B, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^1(B, \mathbb{C}^\times) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

We have

$$\tau(\text{mod}(B)) = c_1(Q_B).$$

B is unimodular



Q_B is isomorphic to the trivial module \mathbb{C}



$$c_1(Q_B) = 0$$

and \exists a nowhere vanishing $\omega \in \Gamma(Q_B)$

$$\text{s.t. } \nabla_X \omega = 0, \forall X \in \Gamma(B)$$

DEFINITION: An extended Poisson manifold (\mathbf{X}, H) is unimodular if its corresponding complex Lie algebroid A_H^* is unimodular.

PROPOSITION: Let (\mathbf{X}, H) be an extended Poisson manifold.

(\mathbf{X}, H) is unimodular

\iff

\exists a nowhere vanishing
 $\omega \in \Omega^{(n,0)}$ s.t.
 $\bar{\partial}\omega + \partial\iota_H\omega = 0$

Generalized Calabi-Yau condition
(usual Calabi-Yau for $H = 0$)

COROLLARY: For any unimodular extended Poisson manifold (\mathbf{X}, H) of complex dimension n , we have

$$H_k(\mathbf{X}, H) \cong H^{2n-k}(\mathbf{X}, H).$$

Proof:

(\mathbf{X}, H) is unimodular

\iff

\exists a nowhere vanishing
 $\omega \in \Omega^{(n,0)}$ s.t.
 $\bar{\partial}\omega + \partial\iota_H\omega = 0$

\implies the cohomologies of

$$\wedge^\bullet A_H \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\check{d}_*^H} \wedge^{\bullet+1} A_H \otimes \mathcal{L}$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{L} = Q_{A_H}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \wedge^n (T_X^{1,0})^*$$

and

$$\wedge^\bullet A_H \xrightarrow{d_*^H} \wedge^{\bullet+1} A_H$$

are isomorphic

Thus, if (\mathbf{X}, H) is unimodular, then $H_k(\mathbf{X}, H) \cong H^k(\mathbf{X}, H)$ and the result follows from ELW duality on KB homology.

— Thank You —